Review of the key results from the Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) trial – a prospective controlled intervention study of bariatric surgery
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Obesity is a risk factor for diabetes, cardiovascular disease events, cancer and overall mortality. Weight loss may protect against these conditions, but robust evidence for this has been lacking. The Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) study is the first long-term, prospective, controlled trial to provide information on the effects of bariatric surgery on the incidence of these objective endpoints. The SOS study involved 2010 obese subjects who underwent bariatric surgery [gastric bypass (13%), banding (19%) and vertical banded gastroplasty (68%)] and 2037 contemporaneously matched obese control subjects receiving usual care. The age of participants was 37–60 years and body mass index (BMI) was ≥34 kg m⁻² in men and ≥38 kg m⁻² in women. Here, we review the key SOS study results published between 2004 and 2012. Follow-up periods varied from 10 to 20 years in different reports. The mean changes in body weight after 2, 10, 15 and 20 years were −23%, −17%, −16% and −18% in the surgery group and 0%, −1%, −1% and −1% in the control group respectively. Compared with usual care, bariatric surgery was associated with a long-term reduction in overall mortality (primary endpoint) [adjusted hazard ratio (HR) = 0.71, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.54–0.92; P = 0.01] and decreased incidences of diabetes (adjusted HR=0.17; P < 0.001), myocardial infarction (adjusted HR = 0.71; P = 0.02), stroke (adjusted HR=0.66; P = 0.008) and cancer (women: adjusted HR = 0.58; P = 0.0008, men: n.s.). The diabetes remission rate was increased severalfold at 2 years [adjusted odds ratio (OR) = 8.42; P < 0.001] and 10 years (adjusted OR = 3.45; P < 0.001). Whereas high insulin and/or high glucose at baseline predicted favourable treatment effects, high baseline BMI did not, indicating that current selection criteria for bariatric surgery need to be revised.
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Introduction

The prevalence of obesity (body mass index (BMI) ≥30 kg m⁻²) in the USA increased markedly between 1980 and 2004 [1], and in 2009–2010 the age-adjusted prevalence of obesity was 35.5% in adult men and 35.8% in adult women [2]. Forecasts for the prevalence of adult obesity in 2030 have varied between 42% [3] and 51% [4] depending on the model used. In fact, the prevalence of obesity has increased in most parts of the world over the last 20–30 years [5]. The findings from the majority of large and long-term epidemiological studies indicate that being overweight or obese is associated with increased mortality [6–8]; the lifespan of severely obese individuals is decreased by an estimated 5 to 20 years depending on gender, age and race [8, 9].

Weight loss is known to be associated with improvement of intermediate risk factors for disease [10–13], suggesting that weight loss would also reduce mortality. However, with the exception of the Swedish Obese Subjects (SOS) trial, controlled intervention studies demonstrating that weight loss in fact reduces mortality have been lacking. To date, most observational epidemiological studies have indicated that overall and cardiovascular disease mortality are increased after weight loss [14–19], even in subjects who were
overweight or obese at baseline [17, 20]. This discrepancy concerning the effects of weight loss on risk factors as compared with mortality has been related to certain limitations inherent to observational studies, particularly the inability of such studies to distinguish intentional from unintentional weight loss. Thus, the observed weight loss might be the consequence of conditions that lead to death rather than the cause of increased mortality. Three observational epidemiological studies [21–23], all based on data from the American Cancer Society, have suggested that self-reported intentional weight loss is indeed associated with decreased mortality. However, the results of two other studies of intentional weight loss have suggested the opposite [24, 25]. It should be noted that intentionality in the American Cancer Society studies was based on retrospective, self-reported data collected at baseline. The results of all five studies [21–25] might be confounded by inclusion of participants with unintentional weight loss.

Lifestyle interventions to prevent diabetes have not prevented cardiovascular disease events after 10–20 years of follow-up [26, 27]. Similarly, lifestyle interventions combined with antiobesity medications have either shown no effect on primary cardiovascular disease endpoints [28] or an increased incidence in the drug-treated group [29]. Taken together, trials of nonsurgical weight loss in obese participants have failed to demonstrate a benefit in terms of reduced mortality or decreased cardiovascular disease event rates [26–29].

The results of retrospective cohort studies in obese subjects without [30–32] and with diabetes [33] have suggested that bariatric surgery may result in a marked reduction in mortality. As discussed below, these retrospective results are in agreement with the prospective results from the SOS study.

SOS is an ongoing intervention study designed to offer controlled prospective long-term conditions [34] to investigate the effects of bariatric surgery and weight loss on mortality [35] and other objective endpoints [12, 36–38]. This review is focusing on key results. A more detailed review will appear separately [39].

The SOS study

Study aims

The primary aim of the SOS study was to examine whether (i) bariatric surgery and (ii) weight loss induced by bariatric surgery are associated with lower mortality compared with the death rates during conventional treatment in contemporaneously matched, obese control subjects. Predefined secondary aims included the effects of bariatric surgery and weight loss on cardiovascular disease (myocardial infarction, stroke, claudication, angina pectoris and hypertension), diabetes, biliary disease, health-related quality of life and cost efficiency.

Substudies

To date (as of Oct. 2012), 92 peer-reviewed reports from the ongoing SOS study have been published. The overall study consists of four substudies:

- The SOS matching study (n = 6905) was an one-off examination from which patients were recruited into the intervention study.
- The SOS intervention study includes a surgery group (n = 2010) and a control group of nonsurgically treated obese subjects (n = 2037).
- The SOS reference study (n = 1135) was a small substudy of randomly selected subjects from the general population examined at the same time and in the same way as subjects in the matching and intervention studies.
- The SOS sib-pair study (n = 768) investigated weight-discordant siblings and their biological parents.

Design of the SOS matching and intervention studies

The SOS matching study

After recruitment campaigns in the media and at 480 primary health care centres, an one-off matching examination was performed in 6905 patients, 5335 of whom were found to be eligible for study participation [34, 37]. Of these, 2010 individuals electing surgery constituted the surgery group, and a control group (n = 2037) was created using 18 matching variables: gender, age, weight, height, waist and hip circumferences, systolic blood pressure, serum cholesterol and triglyceride levels, smoking status, diabetes, menopausal status, four psychosocial variables with documented associations with the risk of death and two personality traits related to treatment preferences. Although individual patients in the surgery group and their conventionally treated controls always started the study on the
day of first bariatric surgery, matching was not performed at an individual level. Instead the matching algorithm selected controls so that the mean values of the matching variables in the control group were kept as similar as possible to the mean values in the surgery group according to the method of sequential treatment assignment [40].

The SOS intervention study
The SOS intervention trial [12, 34–38] is a prospective, matched, surgical intervention study involving 4047 obese subjects. Patients were recruited through the matching examination between 1 September 1987 and 31 January 2001 (13.4 years recruitment period). To date (Oct. 2012), the follow-up duration is 12–25 years.

A baseline examination of the surgical subjects and their matched controls was undertaken 4 weeks before surgery. The intervention began on the day of surgery (index date) for surgically treated subjects and their matched controls. Dates of all subsequent examinations and questionnaires (at 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15 and 20 years) for surgically treated and control subjects were calculated based on the index date. Inclusion criteria for the intervention study were age 37–60 years and BMI ≥34 kg m⁻² for men and ≥38 kg m⁻² for women. These lower BMI cut-off values corresponded to an approximate doubling in mortality rate for each gender compared with mortality in the BMI range 20–25 kg m⁻² [41]. The exclusion criteria, which were identical for both study arms, were previous surgery for gastric or duodenal ulcer, previous bariatric surgery, gastric ulcer during the past 6 months, ongoing malignancy, active malignancy during the past 5 years, myocardial infarction during the past 6 months, bulimic eating pattern, drug or alcohol (>33.9 g alcohol per day) abuse, psychiatric or cooperation problems contraindicating bariatric surgery and other contraindicating conditions such as continuous glucocorticoid or anti-inflammatory treatment.

The matching and baseline examinations as well as all later follow-up examinations were performed at 480 primary health care centres and 25 surgical departments in Sweden. At each examination, measurements of weight, height, waist circumference, other anthropometric measures (see Table 1) and blood pressure were obtained [37]. Biochemical variables (see Table 1) were measured at the matching examination, at the baseline examination (year 0 of the intervention study) and at 2, 10, 15 and 20 years. Blood samples were obtained in the morning after a 10- to 12-h fast and analysed at the Central Laboratory of Sahlgrenska University Hospital (accredited according to European Norm 45001). The baseline questionnaire included questions to provide self-reported information on previous myocardial infarction, stroke and cancer, as well as questions designed to assess the likelihood of sleep apnoea [42]. Psychosocial variables were also evaluated [43].

Treatments. The surgically treated subjects underwent nonadjustable or adjustable banding \((n = 376)\), vertical banded gastroplasty (VBG; \(n = 1369\)) or gastric bypass (GBP; \(n = 265\)) operations [44]. The matched controls received the standard nonsurgical obesity treatment for their primary health care centres. No attempt was made to standardize the conventional treatment, which ranged from sophisticated lifestyle intervention and behaviour modification to, in many practices, no treatment at all.

Cross-checking. All social security numbers from the SOS database were cross-checked against the Swedish Person and Address Register (SPAR) every year on 1 November. On several occasions, the SOS database has also been cross-checked against the Swedish Social Insurance System, Statistics Sweden and the Swedish Hospital Discharge Register to obtain objective data on sick leave, disability pension, hospital care and annual income.

SPAR provides current addresses of living participants and information on all deceased persons. Social security numbers of all deceased subjects were cross-checked against the Swedish Cause of Death Register to obtain the official cause of death.

The statistical procedures in the SOS study have previously been described in detail [12, 35–38]. In brief, the methods used for statistical analyses included t test, Fisher’s exact test, Kaplan–Meier estimates of cumulative incidence, log-rank test and univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models. Subgroup–treatment interactions were calculated for original continuous variables and for dichotomous variables. The expected number of surgeries needed to prevent one event over 10 or 15 years (numbers needed to treat) was calculated as the reciprocal of the absolute risk difference (obtained from Kaplan–Meier estimates over 10 or 15 years) between
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Matching examination</th>
<th>Baseline examination</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, n</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males*, % (n)</td>
<td>29.4 (590)</td>
<td>29.0 (590)</td>
<td>29.4 (590)</td>
<td>29.0 (590)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>29.4 (590)</td>
<td>29.0 (590)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females*, % (n)</td>
<td>70.6 (1420)</td>
<td>71.0 (1447)</td>
<td>70.6 (1420)</td>
<td>71.0 (1447)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>70.6 (1420)</td>
<td>71.0 (1447)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal women*,% (n)</td>
<td>31.8 (452)</td>
<td>35.5 (513)</td>
<td>37.2 (525)</td>
<td>41.3 (594)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>37.2 (525)</td>
<td>41.3 (594)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at examination*, years</td>
<td>46.1 (5.8)</td>
<td>47.4 (6.1)</td>
<td>47.2 (5.9)</td>
<td>48.7 (6.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>47.2 (5.9)</td>
<td>48.7 (6.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily smoking*, % (n)</td>
<td>27.9 (560)</td>
<td>20.2 (412)</td>
<td>25.8 (518)</td>
<td>20.8 (422)</td>
<td>10 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>20.8 (422)</td>
<td>20.8 (422)</td>
<td>10 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes*, % (n)</td>
<td>7.4 (148)</td>
<td>6.1 (125)</td>
<td>10.7 (215)</td>
<td>11.4 (230)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>10.7 (215)</td>
<td>11.4 (230)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous MI, % (n)</td>
<td>1.4 (29)</td>
<td>1.1 (22)</td>
<td>1.5 (31)</td>
<td>1.4 (29)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.5 (31)</td>
<td>1.4 (29)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stroke, % (n)</td>
<td>0.7 (15)</td>
<td>0.9 (19)</td>
<td>0.7 (15)</td>
<td>1.1 (23)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.7 (15)</td>
<td>1.1 (23)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous cancer, % (n)</td>
<td>1.1 (23)</td>
<td>1.0 (20)</td>
<td>1.2 (25)</td>
<td>1.1 (22)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.2 (25)</td>
<td>1.1 (22)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight*, kg</td>
<td>119.2 (16.1)</td>
<td>116.9 (15.4)</td>
<td>121.0 (16.6)</td>
<td>114.7 (16.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>121.0 (16.6)</td>
<td>114.7 (16.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height*, m</td>
<td>168.9 (9.1)</td>
<td>169.0 (9.2)</td>
<td>168.9 (9.1)</td>
<td>169.0 (9.2)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>168.9 (9.1)</td>
<td>169.0 (9.2)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>41.8 (4.4)</td>
<td>40.9 (4.3)</td>
<td>42.4 (4.5)</td>
<td>40.1 (4.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>42.4 (4.5)</td>
<td>40.1 (4.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference*, cm</td>
<td>124.1 (10.7)</td>
<td>122.2 (10.1)</td>
<td>125.8 (11.0)</td>
<td>120.2 (11.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>125.8 (11.0)</td>
<td>120.2 (11.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip circumference*, cm</td>
<td>125.9 (9.7)</td>
<td>124.4 (9.3)</td>
<td>127.1 (10.0)</td>
<td>123.2 (9.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>127.1 (10.0)</td>
<td>123.2 (9.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/hip ratio</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure*, mmHg</td>
<td>140.6 (18.7)</td>
<td>140.0 (18.0)</td>
<td>145.0 (18.8)</td>
<td>137.9 (18.0)</td>
<td>4 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>145.0 (18.8)</td>
<td>137.9 (18.0)</td>
<td>4 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>87.5 (11.2)</td>
<td>87.1 (10.7)</td>
<td>89.9 (11.1)</td>
<td>85.1 (10.7)</td>
<td>7 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>89.9 (11.1)</td>
<td>85.1 (10.7)</td>
<td>7 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose, mg/dL</td>
<td>91.1 (33.9)</td>
<td>91.1 (34.3)</td>
<td>93.3 (36.2)</td>
<td>89.0 (32.8)</td>
<td>4 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>93.3 (36.2)</td>
<td>89.0 (32.8)</td>
<td>4 &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
individuals in the surgery and control groups. All
P-values are two-sided and \( P < 0.05 \) was consid-
ered statistically significant. If not stated other-
wise, the intention-to-treat principle was applied.

Design of the SOS reference study

The SOS reference study is a cross-sectional study of
randomly selected individuals. The main pur-
pose was to create a reference sample for the obese
SOS subjects for genetic association studies and
comparative analyses of clinical conditions.

Between August 1994 and December 1999, i.e.
during the period when the majority of patients
were included in the SOS intervention study, 524
men and 611 women were included in the SOS
reference study. Body composition and biochemi-
cal characteristics of the SOS reference study
participants have been reported previously [45–
48]. Results from the SOS reference study will not
be further discussed in this review.

Design of the SOS sib-pair study

The SOS sib-pair study consists of nuclear fam-
ilies established via a pair of adult BMI-discor-
dant siblings. Discordance was defined as a BMI
difference of at least 10 kg m\(^{-2}\). Minimum BMI in
the obese sibling was 32 kg m\(^{-2}\). The primary aim
of the sib-pair study was to conduct positional
cloning.

A total of 159 nuclear families with between four
and 10 members were recruited, resulting in a
study population of 768 subjects, including 231
obesity-discordant sib-pairs. The SOS reference
and sib-pair studies (in combination with Very Low
Calorie Diet studies) have resulted in the publica-
tion of 22 genetic reports to date (Oct. 2012), which
will not be further discussed in this review.

Baseline characteristics of participants in the SOS intervention study

The matching procedure created a control group
that was on average 2.3 kg lighter (\( P < 0.001 \)) and
1.3 years older (\( P < 0.001 \)) than the surgery group
(Table 1) [37]. The higher body weight of patients in
the surgery group was associated with significantly
higher values of several anthropometric measure-
ments and some biochemical variables [37]. In
addition, smoking was more common in the sur-
gery group (\( P < 0.001 \)). The matching procedure
was mathematically optimized using the method of
sequential treatment assignment [40]; however, through real-life experience of the matching procedure we found that the heaviest patients tended to choose surgery, thus leaving a lighter group of subjects (potential controls; \( n = 3325 \)) from which to select the control group (\( n = 2037 \)). A better match would have required a severalfold larger group of potential controls, but this was not possible with the available resources in our academically driven study. A randomized design was not approved for ethical reasons because of a high postoperative mortality rate in the 1980s [49]. In a randomized study, the surgery and control groups would probably have been more similar but the dropout rate from physical examinations might have been even larger than in the current SOS study (see below) due to a large number of unsatisfied control subjects requesting surgery.

Between the matching and baseline examinations, there was an increase in body weight in the surgically treated patients (1.73 kg; \( P < 0.001 \)) and a decrease in weight in the control group (2.23 kg; \( P < 0.001 \)). These diverging weight changes caused a weight difference of 6.3 kg between the surgery and control groups at baseline, and most baseline risk factors were significantly increased in the surgery group (Table 1) [37]. However, it should be noted that with approximately 2000 subjects per group small differences become statistically significant and from a clinical point of view the differences were modest. Furthermore, most observed baseline differences were related to the higher body weight in the surgery group and increased risk factors constituted disadvantages for the surgery group in univariate analyses of mortality [35] and cardiovascular disease events [37]. Thus, the favourable outcomes of surgery described below were seen in spite of, not due to, the more unfavourable risk factor pattern in the surgery group at baseline.

Follow-up rates

In study analyses based on cross-checking of the SOS database with the Swedish Mortality Register and the Swedish Hospital Discharge Register, follow-up rates of more than 99% have been reported [35–37]. By contrast, the follow-up rates for physical and laboratory examinations are much lower. Levels of diabetes prevention [12, 38] and remission [12] are influenced by these lower follow-up rates. For instance, in a recent investigation of diabetes prevention in 1658 surgical patients and 1771 obese controls without diabetes at baseline, the overall participation rates at 2 and 10 years were 87.1% and 68.8% respectively [38]. Based on data from 1 January 2012, the participation rate at 15 years was only 32.0%. However, this low figure was partly explained by the fact that 30.9% of the original participants were not eligible because they had not yet reached the time for their 15-year examination. Various sensitivity and imputation analyses have indicated that the 10- and 15-year SOS data on diabetes prevention are valid in spite of the limited participation rate [38].

Weight changes

Figure 1 shows the weight changes over 20 years for the control and surgery subgroups of the SOS intervention study [37]. In the control group, the average weight change remained within ±3% over the entire observation period. In the three surgery subgroups, mean (±SD) weight loss was maximal after 1–2 years (GBP 32 ± 8%, VBG 25 ± 9% and banding 20 ± 10%). Weight increases were seen in all surgery subgroups in subsequent years, although the weight increase curves levelled off after 8–10 years (Figure 1). After 10 years, weight losses were 25 ± 11% (GBP), 16 ± 11% (VBG) and 14 ± 14% (banding) below the baseline weight. After 15 years, the corresponding weight losses were 27 ± 12%, 18 ± 11% and 13 ± 14% respectively. The 20-year weight changes should be interpreted with caution due to the low number of participants so far examined at this time-point.

Surgical complications and postoperative mortality

In total, 89% of all operations in the SOS study were undertaken as open surgery. Over the first 90 days after inclusion in the intervention study, five deaths (0.25%) were observed in the surgery group and two (0.1%) in the control group [37]. Amongst the 2010 patients in the surgery group, four died during the primary hospital stay (three due to anastomotic leaks with general organ failure and one due to myocardial infarction). The fifth surgical patient died 60 days postsurgery from an acute myocardial infarction.

Amongst the patients in the surgery group, 292 (14.5%) had at least one nonfatal complication over the first 90 days. Pulmonary complications were most common (total 5.2%, including thromboembolism in 0.8%) followed by vomiting (3.0%), wound infection (2.1%), haemorrhage (1.3%) and anasto-
motic leak (1.2%). In 2.9% of the patients, these complications were serious enough to require a second operation during the first 90 days [37].

Limitations of SOS

The main limitation of the SOS study is that it is a matched rather than a randomized trial. When the study was approved in 1987, six of the seven ethics review boards in Sweden considered that randomized investigations were unacceptable because of the high postoperative death rate after bariatric surgery (1%–5% during the 1970s and 1980s [49]).

Study outcomes

Effects of bariatric surgery on overall mortality

Mortality was the primary endpoint of the entire SOS project. The effect of bariatric surgery on overall mortality was reported in 2007 [35]. Figure 2 shows the cumulative overall mortality during follow-up to 16 years. Surgery was associated with an unadjusted hazard ratio (HR) of 0.76 relative to usual care for the control subjects [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.59–0.99; \( P = 0.04 \)]. After multivariable adjustments for baseline conditions, the risk reduction was almost 30% (HR = 0.71, 95% CI 0.54–0.92). During the follow-up period, 129 subjects died in the control group and 101 in the surgery group. Mortality in the surgery group includes postoperative deaths occurring within the first 90 days after surgery (see above).

Using multivariate models in an iterative way, it was possible to show that the favourable effects of surgery only became statistically significant after...
approximately 13 study years [35]. Given that it took 26 years until obesity became a significant independent predictor of cardiovascular disease in the Framingham Study [50] and in the Manitoba Study [51], it may not be surprising that long follow-up periods are required to demonstrate favourable effects of obesity treatment.

Cancer was the single most common cause of death; 47 cancer deaths occurred in the control group, and 29 amongst those in the surgery group. Fatal myocardial infarction, which was the second most common cause of death, occurred in 25 control subjects and 13 patients undergoing surgery [35]. Because of a lack of power, it was not possible to estimate the risk reduction for specific causes of death in 2007 [35].

Four retrospective cohort studies have demonstrated reduced mortality after bariatric surgery [30–33]. The results of the prospective SOS study support the retrospective findings on mortality [35], and taken together these five studies provide evidence that bariatric surgery indeed reduces overall mortality.

*Effects of bariatric surgery on remission of diabetes*

Diabetes prevention and remission were secondary endpoints of the SOS project. Preliminary observations with regard to type 2 diabetes (T2D) were published in 2004. After 2 years of follow-up, 72% of SOS patients with T2D at baseline were in remission in the surgery group [12]. This is in good agreement with a meta-analysis by Buchwald and colleagues in 2009 showing 57% remission of diabetes after banding and 80% remission after GBP [52]. Similar diabetes remission rates after bariatric surgery have been confirmed in randomized 1- to 2-year studies [53–56]. The 1- to 2-year remission rates after bariatric surgery are extremely high compared with those seen after usual care in the SOS control group (Fig 3, upper panel) and after lifestyle interventions [57], exercise alone [58], weight loss medication [59] or antidiabetic drug treatment [60, 61].

It is noteworthy, however, that in 2004 we also reported that amongst patients who underwent surgery with remission of diabetes at 2 years, 50% had relapsed after 10 years (Fig 3, upper panel) [12]. There are no other 10-year diabetes relapse data available for comparison in patients operated with VBG, banding or GBP. However, there was no 10-year diabetes relapse in 22 patients who underwent bilio-pancreatic diversion [62].

Recently, we obtained evidence for a long-term reduction in macrovascular disease after bariatric surgery. In SOS participants with T2D at baseline, the incidence of myocardial infarction was reduced in surgery as compared with control patients (HR = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.34–0.93, P = 0.025[63]). Thus there seems to be a long-term macrovascular benefit of bariatric surgery in patients with T2D in
spite of a considerable ‘biochemical’ relapse rate after the initial 2-year remission.

Effects of bariatric surgery on diabetes prevention

In 2004, we also reported that bariatric surgery reduced the incidence of new cases of T2D in nondiabetic subjects by at least 75% both at 2 and 10 years (Fig 3, lower panel) [12]. In a recent update including data from all SOS subjects without diabetes at baseline (1771 controls and 1658 in the surgery group), bariatric surgery (as compared with usual care) reduced the risk of developing T2D by 96%, 84% and 78% after 2, 10 and 15 years respectively [38]. Thus, in contrast to the declining remission effect with time, the strong prevention effect was only moderately reduced over 10 and 15 years.

The cumulative incidence rates of T2D are shown separately for different subgroups in Fig. 4 [38]. In the control group, there was no difference in the incidence of T2D between those who had tried to lose weight under professional guidance and those who received no such help (HR = 0.89; P = 0.195). All types of surgery were associated with a reduced incidence of T2D. The HR for GBP was 0.12 (95% CI 0.05–0.27; P < 0.001), but based on only six diabetes cases amongst the 207 subjects. The HR values for banding (0.20, 95% CI 0.13–0.32; P < 0.001) and VBG (0.25, 95% CI 0.19–0.31; P < 0.001) were not significantly different from the value for GBP.

As compared with normal fasting glucose (NFG), impaired fasting glucose at baseline was associated with a more pronounced diabetes preventive effect of bariatric surgery (interaction P-value 0.002) [38]. NNT (Number Needed to Treat) to prevent one diabetes case over 10 years was only 1.3 in patients with IFG as compared to 7.0 in patients with NFG (P < 0.05). In other words, for 13 IFG patients operated with bariatric surgery, T2D seems to be prevented in 10 individuals over at least 10 years.

By contrast, baseline BMI did not predict the diabetes preventive effect of bariatric surgery (interaction P-value 0.545) [38].

The risk reduction observed in several diabetes prevention studies using lifestyle changes and/or medication has been in the order of 40%–50% over the first 2–6 years [64–66] (for meta-analysis, see [67, 68]) and the preventive effect has persisted, at least in part, over 10–20 years [26, 27, 69, 70]. These risk reductions are also impressive, but they have been observed in sophisticated scientific trial settings and they represent only about half of the preventive effect of bariatric surgery. It has been questioned whether risk reductions in the order of 40%–50% can be achieved with usual care in an ordinary primary health care system [71].

Guidelines from the International Diabetes Federation [72], the ADA [73] and others [74, 75] recognize bariatric surgery as an option for established diabetes in the obese; however, bariatric surgery has not been recommended for prevention of diabetes. Our results clearly indicate that this could be considered as an option.

Effects of bariatric surgery on cardiovascular disease events

Myocardial infarction and stroke, either separately or in combination, were predefined secondary endpoints in the SOS trial [34]. As discussed
above, no association between weight loss and reduced incidence of cardiovascular disease events has been demonstrated in epidemiological studies or in nonsurgical interventions. The results of three retrospective cohort studies have suggested that bariatric surgery is associated with reduced incidence of cardiovascular disease events [30, 31, 33], but there is a lack of data from prospective studies.

In January 2012 [37], we reported that bariatric surgery is associated with a reduced number of cardiovascular deaths and with a lower number of total first-time (fatal or nonfatal) cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction or stroke, whichever came first) (Fig. 5). A larger treatment effect was observed in subjects with high fasting serum insulin levels at baseline (*P*-value for interaction < 0.001), whereas no other subgroup–treatment interactions were found.

As discussed above we have also demonstrated that bariatric surgery significantly reduces the incidence of myocardial infarction in SOS patients with diabetes at baseline [63].

**Effects of bariatric surgery on incidence of cancer**

Cancer was not a predefined secondary endpoint in the SOS study because information available at the start of the trial in 1987 indicated that 2000 subjects in each arm would not be enough to see a treatment effect on the incidence of cancer. Unexpectedly, cancer was the most common cause of death, as reported in 2007 (overall 76 cancer deaths compared to 38 deaths due to myocardial infarction), but the study was not sufficiently powered to assess mortality due to specific causes [35]. Therefore, an analysis was performed to investigate whether bariatric surgery is associated with reduced overall incidence of fatal plus nonfatal cancer [36]. Given the strong effect of cancer on mortality in the SOS study, we consider this exploratory examination to be of considerable importance [36] despite the fact that cancer incidence was not a predefined endpoint.

Obesity is a risk factor for cancer. Intentional weight loss in the obese might protect against malignancy, but evidence for this is limited. To our knowledge, the SOS study is the first intervention trial in the obese population to provide prospective, controlled data regarding cancer incidence.

The number of first-time cancers after inclusion was lower in the surgery group (*n* = 117) than in the control group (*n* = 169; HR=0.67, 95% CI 0.53–0.85; *P* = 0.0009) [36]. There were no covariate–treatment interactions with respect to menopausal status, diabetes, BMI, age or smoking status. The *P*-value for the gender–treatment interaction was 0.054. In women, the number of first-time cancers after inclusion was lower in the surgery group (*n* = 79) than in the control group (*n* = 130; unadjusted HR=0.58, 95% CI 0.44–0.77; *P* = 0.0001), whereas there was no effect of surgery in men.
(n = 38 in the surgery group vs. n = 39 in the control group; unadjusted HR=0.97, 95% CI 0.62–1.52; P = 0.90) (Figure 6). Similar results were obtained after exclusion of all cancer cases during the first 3 years of the intervention. The treatment effect in women (adjusted HR=0.58, 95% CI 0.44–0.77; P = 0.0002) remained highly significant also after taking into account significant baseline confounders [36].

The results of epidemiological studies suggest that the beneficial effect of weight loss on cancer is greater in women than in men [21, 22]. In agreement with the SOS findings [36], results of retrospective studies have also suggested that bariatric surgery is associated with decreased cancer incidence in women [76, 77] but not in men [76]. These findings have been discussed recently by Renehan [78].

Are the favourable effects of bariatric surgery mediated by weight loss?

Diabetes and most other cardiovascular disease risk factors are favourably influenced by nonsurgically [10, 64–66, 79–82] and surgically [11–13, 83] induced weight loss. Weight loss induced by bariatric surgery has positive effects on risk factors when analysed both over 2 [11, 12, 83] and 10 [12, 13] years.

By contrast, we have not been able to demonstrate that the favourable effects of bariatric surgery on cardiovascular disease events, cancer incidence and overall mortality are mediated by weight loss. Thus we have found no association between weight change over the first 2 years and subsequent morbidity or mortality, amongst patients within either the control or the surgery group [35–37]. The lack of associations could possibly be due to a more important influence of recent weight changes or to inadequate statistical power to detect weight change-incidence relationships. Alternatively, following a relatively modest weight loss induced by bariatric surgery, there may be no further risk reduction attributable to greater, subsequent weight loss. Our negative results emphasize the need to further explore weight loss-independent effects of bariatric surgery.

BMI does not predict the effects of surgical treatment on outcomes

All current guidelines for bariatric surgery in obese individuals without [84–86] and with diabetes [72–75] are based on BMI alone or in combination with other criteria. Over time, the lower BMI cut-off in these guidelines has typically been reduced, and controlled studies of GBP in nonobese diabetic subjects are currently ongoing [87]. However, the value of BMI as a predictor of treatment effect does not seem to have been evaluated except in the SOS study.

Figure 7 summarizes the BMI–treatment (surgery vs. control) interactions found in the SOS study.
with respect to mortality ($P$ for interaction $= 0.60$), and the incidence rates of cardiovascular disease events ($P = 0.59$), cancer ($P = 0.90$) and diabetes ($P = 0.55$). BMI thus did not predict the effect of surgery on any of these endpoints. By contrast, insulin predicted the treatment effect with respect to mortality ($P$ for interaction $= 0.013$) [35], cardiovascular events ($P < 0.001$) [37] and incidence of diabetes ($P = 0.007$) [38] (data not shown). There was also a strong impaired fasting glucose–treatment interaction with respect to diabetes incidence ($P = 0.002$) [38].

These findings suggest that guidelines for bariatric surgery need to be modified. To select those patients who are most likely to benefit from surgery, more importance should be given to metabolic variables and less to BMI.

**Additional effects of bariatric surgery**

In smaller subsamples we found that bariatric surgery as compared with usual care decreased left ventricular mass and improved the systolic as well as the diastolic function of the left ventricle [88–91]. Similarly, bariatric surgery improved effort-related dyspnoea, chest discomfort [92] and calf pain [93].

At baseline, sleep apnoea was associated with World Health Organization grade 4 daytime dys-
tress is not, in general, associated with any weight loss in the short or long term. Unfortunately, most obese patients worldwide do not have access to specialized obesity treatment.

Treatment with currently available antiobesity drugs typically results in 7%–10% weight reduction over 2 to 4 years as compared to 4%–6% in placebo groups or those treated with lifestyle modification. This is encouraging, but more efficient drugs are clearly needed. Results from the SOS study have demonstrated that maintained effects on risk factors over 10 years require 10%–30% maintained weight loss.

Obese patients with prediabetes and type 2 diabetes require extra care. It is more difficult to achieve conventional or pharmacologically induced weight loss in diabetic obese patients. Even when weight loss is achieved, almost all patients relapse within a few years. Moreover, treatment with sulphonylureas or insulin causes weight gain. Thus, obesity not only causes diabetes but obesity is also a complication of diabetes treatment with some medications; this circle must be broken.

Surgery is the only treatment for obesity resulting in an average of more than 15% documented weight loss over 10 years. This treatment has dramatic positive effects on most but not on all cardiovascular disease risk factors over a 10-year period. It has favourable effects on established diabetes and prevents the development of new cases of diabetes. The diabetes preventive effect of bariatric surgery is particularly strong amongst patients with impaired fasting glucose at baseline. The incidence rates of cardiovascular disease events and cancer as well as overall mortality are reduced by bariatric surgery. No other obesity treatments have such documented effects. Quality of life is also markedly improved. In spite of these favourable effects, the measured total medical costs of obesity were similar after surgical and nonsurgical treatment.

Until more efficient antiobesity drugs are available, surgical treatment of obesity must be more universally accessible. Most countries do not have bariatric surgery capacity enough and with limited resources it has become very important to select those individuals who would benefit most from bariatric surgery. The findings of the SOS study have clearly demonstrated that, in contrast to baseline glucose and insulin, baseline BMI does not predict the surgical treatment effect on outcomes. Thus, current guidelines for bariatric surgery need to be updated.
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